	Coop 2:00 or 00022	DOS Dooumont 3	Tilod 11/12/00	Page Step	Longra
1440	Case 2:09-cr-00022-			DECEMEN	LODGED
WO			TRICT COU	IRT	
	D	STRICT OF A	RIZONA	NOV 1	3 2008
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				CLERK US DIS	THICT COURT
v.				DISTRICT OF	DEPLITY !
Abondio Chavez-Mena			ORDER OF DETE	NTION PENDING	TRIAL
		Case	Number:08	-3489M	
	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C resented by counsel. I conclude by a the defendant pending trial in this ca		hearing was held on_ evidence the defendan	11/13/08 . Defend It is a serious flight ri	dant was present isk and order the
I find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF F	ACT		
×					
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior crimin	efendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure	o appear in court as or	dered.		
	The defendant attempted to evac	de law enforcement co	ntact by fleeing from la	w enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um of	years imprisor	nment.	
The (at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the re the hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the last noted in the record.	Pretrial Services Agenc	y which were review	ed by the Court
		CONCLUSIONS OF	LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of co	efendant will flee. Onditions will reasonabl	y assure the appearan	ce of the defendant	as required.
		CTIONS REGARDING			
appeal. The confitment of the United S	lefendant is committed to the custod facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasona States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pu	able opportunity for priv	rate consultation with dependence of the person in charge of the e in connection with a connection wit	es or being held in c efense counsel. On	ustody pending
	APPE	71 - VMII I MIDD DVD.	TV OELEACE		

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 11-13-08

Lawrence O. Anderson / United States Magistrate Judge